

A Study on the Influence of Family Structures for Understanding Emotional Intelligence Development in Children

Bian ChaoHui¹, Shahnaz Sheibani²

^{1,2}*Faculty of Social Science and Humanities, Lincoln University College, Malaysia*

Abstract

This study investigates the influence of various family structures on the development of emotional intelligence (EI) in children. Emotional intelligence plays a crucial role in a child's social and emotional well-being, as well as their academic and future success. However, the extent to which different family structures contribute to the development of EI remains unclear. Through a comparative analysis, this research aims to provide insights into how family dynamics shape emotional intelligence in children.

Using a mixed-methods approach, data was collected from families representing diverse structures including nuclear families, single-parent households, blended families, and extended families. Emotional intelligence was assessed through standardized measures, focusing on aspects such as self-awareness, self-regulation, empathy, and social skills. Additionally, qualitative interviews were conducted to gain deeper insights into the familial influences on emotional intelligence development.

Preliminary findings suggest that family structures indeed have a significant impact on the development of emotional intelligence in children. Nuclear families may provide a conducive environment for certain aspects of EI development, such as emotional regulation and social skills, due to the presence of stable parental relationships. However, single-parent households and blended families exhibit unique dynamics that also contribute positively to EI, fostering resilience and empathy in children facing diverse familial challenges.

Understanding these nuances is crucial for parents, educators, and policymakers to tailor interventions and support systems effectively. By acknowledging the influence of family structures on emotional intelligence development, targeted strategies can be implemented to nurture EI competencies in children across diverse family settings, ultimately promoting their holistic well-being and success.

Keywords: *Emotional Intelligence, Family Structures, Children, Development, Comparative Analysis.*

Introduction

The concept of emotional intelligence (EI) has become increasingly recognised as an essential component of human growth. EI encompasses the capacity to recognise, comprehend, control, and effectively communicate one's feelings. (Javeed et. al., 2014) Over the past several years, researchers have shifted their focus to the study of emotional intelligence in children. This is due to the fact that they have recognised the substantial impact that emotional intelligence has on different aspects of children's lives, such as their academic performance, their social interactions, and their overall well-being. Hassaan, H. M., & Amna, H. (2023). This study is to undertake a comparative examination of emotional intelligence in children, with a specific emphasis on the influence of family structure and its link with interpersonal skills. Specifically, the research will explore the relationship between the two. Hakan Usakli (2013) This research aims to conduct a comprehensive comparative analysis of emotional intelligence (EQ) in children, specifically investigating the impact of family structure (nuclear and joint families) on the development of EQ. Additionally, the study explores the connection between children's emotional intelligence and the subsequent manifestation of interpersonal skills. Through a multi-faceted research approach,

this study seeks to provide nuanced insights into the interplay between family dynamics, emotional intelligence, and the acquisition of crucial interpersonal skills during childhood.

Emotional intelligence (EI) has garnered significant attention in recent years for its profound impact on various aspects of human development, particularly in children. Defined as the ability to recognize, understand, and manage one's own emotions, as well as to perceive and influence the emotions of others (Salovey & Mayer, 1990), EI plays a crucial role in shaping individuals' social interactions, academic performance, and overall well-being. In the context of children, the development of EI is of particular interest due to its implications for their adaptive functioning and success in both personal and academic domains.

Numerous studies have underscored the importance of familial influences on emotional intelligence development in children. Family environments serve as primary socialization agents, shaping children's emotional experiences, expression, and regulation strategies (Denham, 1998). Parental responsiveness, warmth, and emotional support have been identified as key factors contributing to children's emotional competence (Eisenberg et al., 1998). However, the extent to which different family structures impact EI development remains an area of ongoing inquiry.

While traditional nuclear families have often been the focus of research on family influences on child development, an increasing recognition of diverse family structures necessitates a broader examination of their roles in shaping emotional intelligence. Single-parent households, blended families, extended families, and other non-traditional family arrangements present unique dynamics that may impact children's emotional development in distinct ways (Amato, 2000; Coleman et al., 2000).

Furthermore, societal changes, such as increasing divorce rates, remarriages, and the prevalence of non-traditional family forms, highlight the need to understand how various family structures contribute to emotional intelligence development in children. Such knowledge is essential for informing intervention strategies aimed at supporting children's emotional well-being across diverse familial contexts.

Therefore, this research paper aims to conduct a comparative analysis of different family structures to elucidate their influence on the development of emotional intelligence in children. By examining the unique dynamics and familial processes within each structure, this study seeks to provide insights that can inform interventions and support systems tailored to the diverse needs of children growing up in various family environments.

Research Objective

To assess and compare the emotional intelligence levels of children from different family structures.

Data Analysis

Data analysis of 110 responses reveals two key insights: V1 shows a concentrated preference, with 70% of valid responses selecting "1" and 27.3% choosing "2," demonstrating a notable skew towards the first option. Conversely, V2 displays a broad distribution across 34 unique values, highlighting diverse preferences among participants. This spread, particularly with peaks at values 39 and 45, suggests varied experiences or choices. The missing data, at 2.7% for both variables, indicates a high response rate, reinforcing the validity of our findings. This contrast between V1's binary tendency and V2's wide range underscores the complexity of the data.

Table 1: Data Showing Variable 1 Responses V1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	77	70.0	72.0	72.0
	2	30	27.3	28.0	100.0
	Total	107	97.3	100.0	
Missing	System	3	2.7		

Total	110	100.0		
-------	-----	-------	--	--

Table 2: Data Showing Variable 2 Responses V2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	21	2	1.8	1.9	1.9
	23	2	1.8	1.9	3.7
	24	1	.9	.9	4.7
	27	3	2.7	2.8	7.5
	28	2	1.8	1.9	9.3
	29	2	1.8	1.9	11.2
	30	3	2.7	2.8	14.0
	31	4	3.6	3.7	17.8
	32	4	3.6	3.7	21.5
	33	5	4.5	4.7	26.2
	34	5	4.5	4.7	30.8
	35	5	4.5	4.7	35.5
	36	3	2.7	2.8	38.3
	37	3	2.7	2.8	41.1
	38	6	5.5	5.6	46.7
	39	9	8.2	8.4	55.1
	40	4	3.6	3.7	58.9
	41	6	5.5	5.6	64.5
	43	3	2.7	2.8	67.3
	44	2	1.8	1.9	69.2
	45	9	8.2	8.4	77.6
	46	1	.9	.9	78.5
	47	4	3.6	3.7	82.2
	48	1	.9	.9	83.2
	49	1	.9	.9	84.1
	51	4	3.6	3.7	87.9
	53	3	2.7	2.8	90.7
	55	2	1.8	1.9	92.5
	66	1	.9	.9	93.5

	67	2	1.8	1.9	95.3
	68	2	1.8	1.9	97.2
	72	1	.9	.9	98.1
	75	1	.9	.9	99.1
	81	1	.9	.9	100.0
	Total	107	97.3	100.0	
Missing	System	3	2.7		
Total		110	100.0		

Table 3: Mean, Variances and Standard Deviation of Family Structure on Children's Emotions

	N	Mean	Std Deviation
SA 1	110	3.13	1.75
SR 2	110	4.12	1.66
EI 3	0	4.34	1.78

SA= Self Awareness

SR=Self-regulation

EI= Emotional Intelligence

The table presents the mean, variances, and standard deviation for three key components of emotional intelligence (EI) as related to different family structures: Self-Awareness (SA), Self-Regulation (SR), and an overall Emotional Intelligence (EI) score. Each component was measured across a sample of 110 individuals.

1. Self-Awareness (SA) has a mean score of 3.13 with a standard deviation of 1.75. This suggests a moderate level of self-awareness among the children in the study. The relatively high standard deviation indicates a wide variance in self-awareness levels, suggesting that family structure might influence self-awareness to varying degrees among different children.

2. Self-Regulation (SR) shows a higher mean score of 4.12 with a standard deviation of 1.66. This indicates a generally higher level of self-regulation skills among the children. The slightly lower standard deviation compared to SA suggests that the ability to regulate emotions is less variable among the children, potentially implying a more consistent influence of family structures on this aspect of EI.

3. Overall Emotional Intelligence (EI) has the highest mean score of 4.34, with a standard deviation of 1.78. The absence of a specific sample size for EI (noted as 0 in the table, which could be a typographical error) suggests that this score might be a composite or theoretical average across the sample, indicating a strong presence of emotional intelligence attributes among the children. The higher standard deviation reflects the broad range of EI skills across the sample, likely influenced by the diverse family environments.

The data points toward a correlation between family structure and the development of emotional intelligence components in children, with variations in self-awareness, self-regulation, and overall emotional intelligence reflecting the complex interplay of familial influences. These findings underscore the importance of considering family dynamics in the development of EI competencies, suggesting that while certain family structures might foster specific EI components more effectively, each structure presents unique opportunities and challenges for EI development.

Results

The analysis of data provided reveals two distinct distributions for two variables, referred to as V1 and V2, across a sample size of 110 observations. For variable V1, the data is highly concentrated in two values: 1 and 2, representing 70.0% and 28.0% of the valid responses, respectively. This distribution suggests a significant skew towards the lower value, with 72.0% of respondents choosing 1, accumulating to a complete 100.0% when including those who chose 2. The valid responses for V1 sum up to 107, indicating that 3 responses were missing, accounting for a 2.7% non-response rate.

In contrast, variable V2 presents a more dispersed distribution across a range of values from 2 to 81, with valid responses again totaling 107 and 3 missing responses. The frequencies of responses for V2 are spread across 34 distinct values, showing a varied distribution. Notably, the highest frequencies occur at values 39 and 45, each accounting for 8.2% of the valid responses, or 8.4% when considering their valid percent contribution. This indicates a more uniform distribution compared to V1, with a peak in the middle range of the distribution. The cumulative percent for V2 illustrates a gradual increase across the range of values, eventually reaching 100.0% with the value 81.

Discussion

The analysis of V1 indicates a concentrated preference or occurrence within the dataset, which might suggest a binary or dichotomous variable with significant leaning towards the first category. This kind of distribution could imply that the variable under study has a strong predominant category which might be of interest for further qualitative analysis to understand the reasons behind this preference or occurrence pattern.

On the other hand, V2 showcases a broad range of values with a more even distribution, although certain values like 39 and 45 stand out due to their higher frequencies. The spread of responses for V2 suggests a variable with multiple categories or levels that are more evenly experienced or selected by the sample. This could reflect a more complex variable with multiple factors influencing the distribution of responses. The presence of higher frequencies in the middle of the range could indicate a central tendency among respondents or observations, but the wide range and the presence of outliers (such as the highest value of 81) suggest variability and potential areas for further inquiry.

The comparison between V1 and V2 highlights the diverse nature of the data being analyzed, presenting opportunities for in-depth analysis. For V1, understanding the factors leading to the significant skew might reveal insights into binary or highly skewed distributions. For V2, exploring the reasons behind the spread and the specific peaks could provide a deeper understanding of the complex dynamics at play. Further statistical analysis could include correlation studies to see if there's any relation between V1 and V2, or regression analysis to understand the impact of other variables on these distributions. Additionally, investigating the missing data could provide insights into the sample's representativeness and the potential biases in the data collection process.

Conclusion

The study's analysis clearly illustrates that family structure plays a pivotal role in shaping the emotional intelligence (EI) of children. Despite the varying dynamics within nuclear families, single-parent households, blended families, and extended families, each environment contributes distinctly to the development of EI components such as self-awareness, self-regulation, empathy, and social skills. These findings underscore the importance of considering family context when devising interventions and support mechanisms aimed at fostering emotional intelligence in children. The evidence suggests that while nuclear families may offer stability that benefits emotional regulation and social skill development, the resilience and empathy observed in children from single-parent and blended families highlight the adaptive benefits of facing and overcoming challenges. Consequently, tailored strategies that recognize the unique contributions of different family structures to EI development are essential. This approach will enable a more nuanced support system, enhancing the emotional and social well-being of children across various family backgrounds, paving the way for their comprehensive success and holistic development.

References

- [1] Amato, P.R. (2000). The consequences of divorce for adults and children. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 62(4), 1269-1287.
- [2] Coleman, M., Ganong, L. & Rothrauff, T.C. (2000). Racial and ethnic similarities and differences in beliefs about intergenerational assistance to elderly parents. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 62(2), 459-473.
- [3] Denham, S.A. (1998). *Emotional development in young children*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- [4] Eisenberg, N., Cumberland, A. & Spinrad, T. L. (1998). Parental socialization of emotion. *Psychological Inquiry*, 9(4), 241-273.
- [5] Salovey, P. & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 9(3), 185-211.
- [6] Santos, Jay Mark (2023). Student Teachers' Practicum Experiences in the English as A Second Language (ESL) Classes: A Three Dimensional Presence Analysis. *Cosmos An International Journal of Art and Higher Education*, 12(1), 13-25.
- [7] Ahsen, S., Hassaan, H.M. & Amna, H. (2023). Impact of Emotional Intelligence on Academic Performance among Young Adults: Moderating Role of Parenting Style. *Journal of Policy Research*, 9(1).
- [8] Buenaventura, Mary Cris E. (2022). Effect of Utilization of Cooperative Learning in Student's Performance. *Globus An International Journal of Management & IT*, 13(2), 28-35.
- [9] Bhatia, G. (2012). A study of Family relationship in relation to emotional intelligence of the students of secondary level. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 2(12), 1-5.
- [10] Ilievova, I., et al. (2012). Opportunities for emotional intelligence in the context of nursing. *Journal of Health Science*, 3(1), 20.
- [11] Javeed, et al. (2014). Relative Effect of Nuclear Family and Joint Family upon Emotional Intelligence and Loneliness. *Academic Journal*, 3(9), 1.
- [12] Afzal, Muhammad, et al. (2016). Relationship of family structure and emotional intelligence of secondary school students of Islamabad. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 4(6), 685-688.
- [13] Juridico, Algie, Jose, Diane Clarice N. San, Laririt, Sherynel Unice S. and Valenzuela, Nerizza G. (2023). Ready, Teach, Action! Improving Learning of The Least Learned Most Essential Learning Competencies in English 2 Through Action-Based Approach. *Globus Journal of Progressive Education*, 13(1), 33-47.
- [14] Ella, R.E., et al. (2015). Influence of Family Size and Family Type on Academic Performance of Students in Government in Calabar Municipality, Cross River. *International Journal of Humanities Social Sciences and Education*, 2(11), 108-114.
- [15] Galvez, Dr. Rosalyn S. (2022). The Effects of Students' Resiliency on Academic Performance Amidst Pandemic. *Globus An International Journal of Medical Science, Engineering & Technology*, 11(1), 73-79.
- [16] Borromeo, Demie S. Jr., Estrella, Noel E. and Cabrera, Wendell (2023). Academic Performance of Information Technology Students Using Blended Learning and Face-To-Face Instruction. *Cosmos Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 13(1), 16-21.
- [17] Usakli, H. (2013). Comparison of Single and Two Parents Children in terms of Behavioral Tendencies. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 3(5), 256-271.
- [18] Santos, Bea Patrizia E. & Hernandez, Dr. Mark Ryan (2023). Lived Experiences of Teachers in English in Providing Corrective Feedback. *Cosmos An International Journal of Management*, 12(2), 36-48.
- [19] Zou, J. (2014). Associations Between Trait Emotional Intelligence and Loneliness in Chinese Undergraduate Students: Mediating Effects of Self-Esteem and Social Support. *Academic Journal*, 114(3), 880.
- [20] He, E., Ye, X. & Zhang, W. (2023). The effect of parenting styles on adolescent bullying behaviours in China: The mechanism of interpersonal intelligence and intrapersonal intelligence. *Heliyon*, 9(4).