

Fixed Points of G-MS Contraction in G-Metric Space and Application to Integral Equations

¹D. Sattemma, ²S. Vijaya Lakshmi, ³V. Srinivas Chary, ⁴G. Murali,
⁵G. Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy

¹Research Scholar, Department of mathematics, Osmania University,
Hyderabad, INDIA.

²Department of mathematics, University College of Science, Osmania
University, Hyderabad, INDIA.

³School of Sciences, Department of mathematics, Mallareddy University,
Hyderabad-500014, INDIA.

⁴Department of mathematics, Sreenidhi University, Hyderabad-501301,
INDIA.

⁵Faculty of Science and Technology, Icfai Foundation for Higher Education,
Hyderabad-501203, INDIA.

Abstract. We present the concept of G-MS contraction and develop fixed point theorems in the arrangement of G-metric spaces using G-MS contraction with relevant examples and applications to integral equations in this work.

Keywords: G-MS contraction, arrangement, relevant, applications

INTRODUCTION

S. Banach presented the famous Banach contraction mapping theorem (BCMP) in 1922 in the arrangement of the complete metric space (Ω, ι) . Several generalizations of BCMP utilizing various contractive circumstances in the framework of metric, G-metric space, quasi-metric space, b- metric space have been written in the literature by a diverse range of mathematicians during the last several decades.

Mustafa and Sims [17] proposed the notion of G-metric space and obtained various fixed point findings in the G-metric space. Murthy et al. [16] published current fixed point results on the generalized (ξ, ω) -weak contraction condition in the framing of metric spaces in 2023.

PRELIMINARIES

The terms N and R^+ are used to represent positive integers and non-negative real numbers, accordingly, throughout the following. In the follow-up in 1984, Khan, swaleh, and sessa

[12] enhanced Massa's [14] condition utilized in the context of complete metric space (Ω, ι) , by including an altering distance function ξ . Khan et al.'s definition is available at [12].

Later, using cyclic maps, Kirk et al. established the fixed point result, see [13]. At WCNA-2000, Billy Rhodes presented the notion of weak contractive circumstances for the first time. He offered the following ideas:

Definition 2.1. [16] Let (Ω, ι) be a metric space. $h: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is weakly contractive if $\iota(h\sigma, h\varsigma) \leq \iota(\sigma, \varsigma) - \xi(\iota(\sigma, \varsigma))$, for all $\sigma, \varsigma \in \Omega$ where ξ is an altering distance function.

Murthy et al. acquired the fixed point solution shown below:

Theorem 2.1. [16] Let (Ω, ι) be a complete metric space and $H, I, J, K: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be mapping

Satisfying $\chi(\iota(H\sigma, I\varsigma)) \leq \chi(\Delta(\sigma, \varsigma)) - \Lambda(\Theta(\sigma, \varsigma))$, $\forall \sigma, \varsigma \in \Omega, \sigma \neq \varsigma$, where,

$$\Delta(\sigma, \varsigma) = \max \left\{ \iota(J\sigma, K\varsigma), \frac{1}{2} [\iota(J\sigma, H\sigma) + \iota(K\varsigma, I\varsigma)], \frac{1}{2} [\iota(J\sigma, I\varsigma) + \iota(K\varsigma, H\sigma)] \right\}$$

$$\text{And } \Theta(\sigma, \varsigma) = \min \left\{ \iota(J\sigma, K\varsigma), \frac{1}{2} [\iota(J\sigma, H\sigma) + \iota(K\varsigma, I\varsigma)], \frac{1}{2} [\iota(J\sigma, I\varsigma) + \iota(K\varsigma, H\sigma)] \right\},$$

$H(\sigma) \subseteq K(\sigma)$ and $I(\sigma) \subseteq J(\sigma)$, and $(H, J)(I, K)$ are weak compatible pairs, $\Lambda: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

is such that $\Lambda(v) > 0$, which is lower semi continuous for all $v > 0$ and Λ is discontinuous at $v=0$

with $\Lambda(0) = 0$, $\chi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an altering distance function. Then H, I, J , and K have unique fixed point in Ω .

3. MAIN RESULTS

The next one is our most recent finding based on Murthy et al. 2022.

Definition 3.1. Let (Ω, G) be a G -metric space and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\aleph_1, \aleph_2, \aleph_3, \dots, \aleph_q$ are non-vacuous

selection of Ω and $\Gamma = \bigcap_{i=1}^q \aleph_i$ and $h: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a G -MS Contraction if

$\Gamma = \bigcap_{i=1}^q \aleph_i$ is a circular model of Γ connection with h ;

$(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau) \in \aleph_i \times \aleph_{i+1} \times \aleph_{i+2}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, q$ using $(\aleph_{q+1} = \aleph_1)$,

$$\chi(G(h\sigma, h\varsigma, h\tau)) \leq \chi(\Delta(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau)) - \Lambda(\Theta(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau)) \quad (3.1)$$

Where

$$\Delta(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau) = \max \left\{ G(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma, \hbar\sigma, \hbar\sigma) + G(\varsigma, \hbar\varsigma, \hbar\varsigma) + G(\tau, \hbar\tau, \hbar\tau) \right], \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma, \hbar\varsigma, \hbar\varsigma) + G(\varsigma, \hbar\tau, \hbar\tau) + G(\tau, \hbar\sigma, \hbar\sigma) \right] \right\}$$

(3.2)

$$\Theta(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau) = \min \left\{ G(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma, \hbar\varsigma, \hbar\varsigma) + G(\varsigma, \hbar\tau, \hbar\tau) + G(\tau, \hbar\sigma, \hbar\sigma) \right] \right\}$$

(3.3)

$\chi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is an altering distance function and $\Lambda: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is continuous with $\Lambda(v) > 0$,

assuming and just assuming $v=0$.

Theorem 3.1. Let (Ω, G) be a complete G-metric space and $q \in N, \aleph_1, \aleph_2, \aleph_3, \dots, \aleph_q$ be non-vacuous selections of Ω and $\Gamma = \bigcap_{i=1}^q \aleph_i$. Pretend $\hbar: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a G-MS contraction.

Then there is a single fixed point for $\hbar$ in $\Gamma = \bigcap_{i=1}^q \aleph_i$.

Proof. Pretend $\sigma_0 \in \aleph_1$. Assume $\sigma_0 \in \Omega$ given by $\sigma_{n+1} = \hbar\sigma_n, \forall n \in N \cup \{0\}$. If $\sigma_n = \sigma_{n+1}$ eventually

σ_n is a fixed point of $\hbar$. Presume $\sigma_n \neq \sigma_{n+1}, \forall n \in N \cup \{0\}$.

(3.4)

We'll demonstrate that $G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = 0$. on the premise, $G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) > 0$, we possess $i(n) \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}, \forall n$, which means $(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) \in \aleph_i \times \aleph_{i+1} \times \aleph_{i+2}$. Putting $\sigma = \sigma_n, \varsigma = \sigma_{n+1}$, and $\tau = \sigma_{n+1}$ in the equation (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\chi(G(\hbar\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar\sigma_{n+1})) = \chi(G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})) \leq \chi(\Delta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})) - \Lambda(\Theta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}))$$

(3.6)

Where

$$\Delta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = \max \left\{ G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma_n) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar\sigma_{n+1}) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar\sigma_{n+1}) \right], \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar\sigma_{n+1}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar\sigma_{n+1}) \right] \right. \\ \left. + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma_n) \right\}$$

$$\Delta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = \max \left\{ G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right], \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right] \right. \\ \left. + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) \right\}$$

and

$$\Theta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = \min \left\{ G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \hbar \sigma_{n+1}, \hbar \sigma_{n+1}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar \sigma_{n+1}, \hbar \sigma_{n+1}) \right] \right\}$$

$$\Theta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = \min \left\{ G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right] \right\}$$

Hence, as a result of the rectangular inequality, we have

$$\Delta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) \leq \max \left\{ G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) + 2G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right], \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right] \right\}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) + 2G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right], \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) + 2G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right] \right\}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) + 2G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right], \right\}$$

(3.7)

$$\Theta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = \min \left\{ G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \right] \right\}$$

(3.8)

Let some n if at all possible,

$$G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) < G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})$$

The rectangular inequality follows

$$0 < G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) - G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) \leq G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}).$$

Hence, by (3.4) we have

$$G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) > 0.$$

Now, if $G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) < G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})$

Then we obtain

$$\Delta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) \leq G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \quad (3.9)$$

and (3.6) indicates that this disparity

$$\chi(G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})) \leq \chi(\Delta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})) - \chi(\Theta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})) \\ \leq \chi(\Delta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}))$$

As χ increases monotonically, we obtain

$$G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) < G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})$$

(3.10)

from (3.9) and (3.10), we have $\Delta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})$

thus using our assumption and (3.6) - (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})) &\leq \chi(G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})) - \Lambda(\Theta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})) \\ &< \chi(G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}))\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts itself, therefore for all $n \geq 0$,

$$G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) < G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})$$

(3.11)

By (3.11) we obtain from (3.7) and (3.8), for all $n \geq 0$

$$\Delta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) \quad (3.12)$$

$$\Theta(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{3} [G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})]$$

(3.13)

Putting (3.12) and (3.13) in (3.6), we have $\forall n \geq 0$,

$$\chi(G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})) = \chi(G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})) - \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{3} [G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})]\right)$$

(3.14)

Once more (3.11) suggests that the sequence $G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})$ diminishes in a consistent manner.

Finally there exist $s \geq 0$ so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = s.$$

Once more, based on the last property of G-metric space, we possess

$$\begin{aligned}G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) &\leq G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) \\ G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) - 2s &\leq G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) - 2s \\ G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) - 2s &\leq [G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) - s]\end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow +\infty$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) = 2s.$$

And when $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.14), we possess

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi(G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi(G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1})) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{3} [G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}) + G(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2})]\right)$$

As χ and Λ continuous

$$\chi(s) \leq \chi(s) - \Lambda(s).$$

Therefore $\Lambda(s) = 0$ and hence $s = 0$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}) = 0 \quad (3.15)$$

Now let's presume that $\{\sigma_n\}$ doesn't seem a G- Cauchy sequence in Ω . Subsequently with a certain

$\delta > 0$, that we may identify distinct $\{\sigma_{m(k)}\}, \{\sigma_{n(k)}\}$ sub sequences of $\{\sigma_n\}$ so
 $n(\rho) > m(\rho) > \rho$

For $\rho \in N$ and

$$G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \dots \delta \quad (3.16)$$

Which implies,

$$G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) < \delta \quad (3.17)$$

By using the rectangular inequality and (3.16) and (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\leq G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \leq G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \\ &< \delta + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$ and using (3.15), we obtain

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow +\infty} G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) = \delta \quad (3.18)$$

Putting $\sigma = \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \zeta = \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \tau = \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}$ in (3.1) - (3.3) respectively, for all $\rho \in N$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1})) &= \chi(G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)})) \\ &\leq \chi(\Delta(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1})) - \Lambda(\Theta(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1})) \end{aligned}$$

(3.19)

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) &= \max \left\{ G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}), \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) \end{bmatrix}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}) \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\ \Delta(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) &= \max \left\{ G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}), \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \end{bmatrix}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}) \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\ \Theta(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) &= \min \left\{ G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}), \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \hbar \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}) \end{bmatrix}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}) \end{bmatrix} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \rho \in N$, we've got

$$G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) \leq G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}) + G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1})$$

and

$$G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \leq G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}) + G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) \\ + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)})$$

By using (3.15) and (3.18) and letting $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow +\infty} G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) = \delta \quad (3.20)$$

For all $\rho \in N$, we've got

$$G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \leq G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}) + G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)})$$

And

$$G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) \leq G(\sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{m(\rho)-1}) + G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)})$$

Letting $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$, from (3.15) and (3.18) we've got

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow +\infty} G(\sigma_{m(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) = \delta \quad (3.21)$$

For all $\rho \in N$, we've got

$$G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}) \leq G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)}) + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)})$$

and

$$G(\sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}) \leq G(\sigma_{n(\rho)}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{n(\rho)-1}) + G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)})$$

By using (3.15) and (3.18) and letting $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow +\infty} G(\sigma_{n(\rho)-1}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}, \sigma_{m(\rho)}) = \delta \quad (3.22)$$

With continuous χ and Λ , $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.19) from (3.15), (3.18)-(3.22), we have

$$\chi(\varepsilon) \leq \chi(\varepsilon) - \Lambda(\varepsilon)$$

a paradox caused by $\delta > 0$, which means σ_n is G-Cauchy. There are some $\sigma \in \Omega$ by completeness

of (Ω, G) ,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_n = \sigma^0 \quad (3.23)$$

Now we assert

$$\sigma^0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^q \mathbb{N}_i^*$$

Since $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{N}_1, (\sigma_{nq})_{n \geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_1$, and $\mathbb{N}_1$ is closed from (3.23), respectively, we obtained

$\sigma^0 \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Once more, we have $(\sigma_{nq+1})_{n \geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_2$. Since $\mathbb{N}_2$ is closed, we were able to derive

$\sigma^0 \in \mathbb{N}_2$. from (3.23). Continuing in this manner, we come to

$$\sigma^0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^q \mathfrak{N}_i$$

Now, we can demonstrate σ^0 is fixed point of $\hbar$, from (3.24) since $\forall i(n) \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$ which

means $\sigma_n \in \mathfrak{N}_i(n)$, using (3.1) with $\sigma = \sigma_n, \varsigma = \sigma^0$ and $\tau = \sigma^0$, we posses

$$\chi\left(G\left(\hbar\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right)\right) = \chi\left(G\left(\sigma_{n+1}, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right)\right) \leq \chi\left(\Delta\left(\sigma_n, \sigma^0, \sigma^0\right)\right) - \Lambda(\chi(\Theta(\sigma_n, \sigma^0, \sigma^0)))$$

Where

$$\Delta\left(\sigma_n, \sigma^0, \sigma^0\right) = \max \left\{ G\left(\sigma_n, \sigma^0, \sigma^0\right), \frac{1}{3} \left[G\left(\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma_n\right) + G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right) \right] + G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right) \right\}$$

$$\Theta\left(\sigma_n, \sigma^0, \sigma^0\right) = \min \left\{ G\left(\sigma_n, \sigma^0, \sigma^0\right), \frac{1}{3} \left[G\left(\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right) + G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right) + G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma_n\right) \right] \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ G\left(\sigma_n, \sigma^0, \sigma^0\right), \frac{1}{3} \left[G\left(\sigma_n, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right) + G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right) + G\left(\sigma^0, \sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) \right] \right\}$$

Now

$$\chi\left(G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right)\right) \leq \chi\left(\frac{2}{3}G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right)\right)$$

As $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\chi\left(G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right)\right) \leq \chi\left(\frac{2}{3}G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right)\right)$$

Since χ increases monotonically,

$$G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right) \leq \frac{2}{3}G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right)$$

Which is contradictory; as a result, $G\left(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0\right) = 0$ and hence $\sigma^0 = \hbar\sigma^0$. Therefore σ^0 is a

fixed point of $\hbar$. Assume for the sake of disparity, ς^0 is one more fixed point and $\hbar\varsigma^0 = \varsigma^0$.

This suggests that

$$\varsigma^0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^q \mathfrak{P}_i$$

By using (3.1) for $\sigma = \sigma^0, \varsigma = \varsigma^0$ and $\tau = \varsigma^0$

$$\chi\left(G\left(\hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\varsigma^0, \hbar\varsigma^0\right)\right) = \chi\left(G\left(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0\right)\right) \leq \chi\left(\Delta\left(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0\right)\right) - \Lambda(\chi(\Theta(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0))) \quad (3.25)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0) &= \max \left\{ G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0) + G(\varsigma^0, \hbar\varsigma^0, \hbar\varsigma^0) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + G(\varsigma^0, \hbar\varsigma^0, \hbar\varsigma^0) \right] \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma^0, \sigma^0, \sigma^0) + 2G(\varsigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0) \right], \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0) + G(\varsigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0) + G(\varsigma^0, \sigma^0, \sigma^0) \right] \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0), 0, \frac{2}{3} \left[G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0) \right] \right\} = G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0)
\end{aligned}$$

(3.26)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0) &= \min \left\{ G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma^0, \hbar\varsigma^0, \hbar\varsigma^0) + G(\varsigma^0, \hbar\varsigma^0, \hbar\varsigma^0) + G(\varsigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0, \hbar\sigma^0) \right] \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0) + G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0) + G(\varsigma^0, \sigma^0, \sigma^0) \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

(3.27)

In light of the fact that σ^0, ς^0 are both fixed points of $\hbar$ from (3.25) to (3.27) we have

$$\chi(G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0)) \leq \chi(G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0)) - \Lambda(\chi(G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0)))$$

Which implies that $G(\sigma^0, \varsigma^0, \varsigma^0) = 0$. Hence $\sigma^0 = \varsigma^0$.

Example 3.1. Let $\Omega = R^3$ and $\hbar: \Omega \times \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow R^+$ be given by

$$\begin{aligned}
G((\sigma_1, \varsigma_1, \tau_1), (\sigma_2, \varsigma_2, \tau_2), (\sigma_3, \varsigma_3, \tau_3)) &= |\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| + |\sigma_2 - \sigma_3| + |\sigma_3 - \sigma_1| + |\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2| + |\varsigma_2 - \varsigma_3| \\
&\quad + |\varsigma_3 - \varsigma_1| + |\tau_1 - \tau_2| + |\tau_2 - \tau_3| + |\tau_3 - \tau_1|
\end{aligned}$$

(Ω, G) is complete and let $\aleph_1 = \{(h, 0, 0) : 0 \leq h \leq 1\}$, $\aleph_2 = \{(0, i, 0) : 0 \leq i \leq 1\}$ and $\aleph_3 = \{(j, 0, 0) : 0 \leq j \leq 1\}$ be the closed subsets of Ω . Let $\hbar: \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2 \cup \aleph_3 \rightarrow \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2 \cup \aleph_3$ be a

map, such that $\hbar = (h, 0, 0) = \left(0, 0, \frac{h}{3}\right)$, $\hbar = (0, i, 0) = \left(0, \frac{i}{3}, 0\right)$ and $\hbar = (0, 0, j) = \left(\frac{j}{3}, 0, 0\right)$.

Clearly $\Gamma = \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2 \cup \aleph_3$ is a cyclic representation of Γ in relation to $\hbar$.

affirm: $\hbar$ adheres to (3.1). Let $\chi(l) = l$ and $\Lambda(l) = \frac{l}{3}$ such that $\chi(l) = l$. Let

$$\sigma = (h, 0, 0), \varsigma = (0, i, 0)$$

and $\tau = (0, 0, j)$ then $\hbar\sigma = \hbar(h, 0, 0) = \left(0, 0, \frac{h}{3}\right)$, $\hbar\varsigma = \hbar(0, i, 0) = \left(0, \frac{i}{3}, 0\right)$ and

$$\hbar\tau = \hbar(0, 0, j) = \left(\frac{j}{3}, 0, 0\right)$$

and $G(\hbar\sigma, \hbar\varsigma, \hbar\tau) = \frac{1}{3}(h+i+j)$, $G(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau) = (h+i+j)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3} [G(\sigma, \hbar\sigma, \hbar\sigma) + G(\varsigma, \hbar\varsigma, \hbar\varsigma) + G(\tau, \hbar\tau, \hbar\tau)] &= \frac{1}{3} \left[G\left((h, 0, 0), \left(0, 0, \frac{h}{3}\right), \left(0, 0, \frac{h}{3}\right)\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G\left((0, i, 0), \left(0, \frac{i}{3}, 0\right), \left(0, \frac{i}{3}, 0\right)\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G\left((0, 0, j), \left(\frac{j}{3}, 0, 0\right), \left(\frac{j}{3}, 0, 0\right)\right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3}(h+i+j) + \frac{2}{9}(h+i+j) \\ \frac{1}{3} [G(\sigma, \hbar\varsigma, \hbar\varsigma) + G(\varsigma, \hbar\tau, \hbar\tau) + G(\tau, \hbar\sigma, \hbar\sigma)] &= \frac{1}{3} \left[G\left((h, 0, 0), \left(0, \frac{i}{3}, 0\right), \left(0, \frac{i}{3}, 0\right)\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G\left((0, i, 0), \left(\frac{j}{3}, 0, 0\right), \left(\frac{j}{3}, 0, 0\right)\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G\left((0, 0, j), \left(0, 0, \frac{h}{3}\right), \left(0, 0, \frac{h}{3}\right)\right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3}(h+i+j) + \frac{2}{9}(h+i+j) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\chi(G(\hbar\sigma, \hbar\varsigma, \hbar\tau)) = \frac{2}{3}(h+i+j) = \chi(G(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau)) \leq \chi(\Delta(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau)) - \Lambda(\Theta(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau))$$

Theorem (3.1) is fully met, and $\hbar$ has an unique fixed point $(0, 0, 0) \in \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2 \cup \aleph_3$.

APPLICATION

The generated one is used to discover a solution to the following:

$$-\frac{d^2\varsigma}{d\sigma^2} = \begin{cases} E(\sigma, \varsigma(\sigma)), \sigma \in [0, 1], \\ \varsigma(0) = \varsigma(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

(4.1)

Where $E: [0, 1] \times R$ is continuous mapping. The integral equation is comparable to this matter.

$$\varsigma(\sigma) = \int_0^1 G'(\sigma, \nu) E(\nu, \varsigma(\nu)) d\nu \quad (4.2)$$

For $\sigma \in [0, 1]$, $G'(\sigma, \nu)$ is the Green's function determined by

$$G'(\sigma, \nu) = \begin{cases} \nu(1-\sigma); & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq \sigma; \\ \sigma(1-\nu); & \text{if } \sigma \leq \nu \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Assume $\Omega = C([0, 1], R^+)$ is an array that includes continuous non-negative real graded operations defined on $[0, 1]$. Now that we've determined the generalized metric G on Ω ,

$$G(\sigma, \varsigma, \tau) = \max[|\sigma(\nu) - \varsigma(\nu)| + |\varsigma(\nu) - \tau(\nu)| + |\tau(\nu) - \sigma(\nu)|].$$

For $\sigma, \varsigma, \tau \in \Omega$. Then (Ω, G) is a G -metric space. Allow $\aleph_1 = \aleph_2 = \Omega = C([0, 1], R^+)$. It is obvious

so $\aleph_1, \aleph_2$ represent closed subsets of Ω . Let $\hbar: \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2 \rightarrow \aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2$ be defined by

$$\hbar(\varsigma(\sigma)) = \int_0^1 G'(\sigma, \nu) E(\nu, \varsigma(\nu)) d\nu, \quad \nu \in [0, 1]$$

Clearly, $\hbar(\aleph_1) \subseteq \aleph_2, \hbar(\aleph_2) \subseteq \aleph_3$ and $\hbar(\aleph_3) \subseteq \aleph_1$. This, $\hbar$ is cyclic map on $\aleph_1 \cup \aleph_2 \cup \aleph_3$.

Imagine the following proposition is accurate: $|E(\nu, \theta) - E(\nu, \vartheta)| \leq |\theta - \vartheta|$, for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$ and $\theta, \vartheta \in R^+$. Then (4.2) presents a distinctive solution $\varsigma^* \in \Omega$. So, now we show that for each $\varsigma \in \aleph_1$ and $\varsigma \in \aleph_1, \mu \in \aleph_2, \nu \in \aleph_3$. We have

$$\chi(G(\hbar\varsigma, \hbar\mu, \hbar\nu)) \leq \chi(\Delta(\varsigma, \mu, \nu)) - \Lambda(\Theta(\varsigma, \mu, \nu))$$

For $\chi(\tilde{n}) = \tilde{n}$ and $\Lambda(\tilde{n}) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{n}$. Now, let $(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) \in \aleph_1 \times \aleph_2 \times \aleph_3$. Therefore, by (3.1), We conclude that every one $\tilde{n} \in [0, 1]$.

$$\begin{aligned} G(\hbar\varsigma, \hbar\mu, \hbar\nu) &= \max|\hbar\varsigma(\tilde{n}) - \hbar\mu(\tilde{n})| + \max|\hbar\mu(\tilde{n}) - \hbar\nu(\tilde{n})| + \max|\hbar\mu(\tilde{n}) - \hbar\varsigma(\tilde{n})| \\ &= \max \left| \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) E(\nu, \varsigma(\nu)) d\nu - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) E(\nu, \mu(\nu)) d\nu \right| \\ &+ \max \left| \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) E(\nu, \mu(\nu)) d\nu - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) E(\nu, \nu(\nu)) d\nu \right| \\ &+ \max \left| \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) E(\nu, \nu(\nu)) d\nu - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) E(\nu, \varsigma(\nu)) d\nu \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max \left| \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) [E(\nu, \varsigma(\nu)) - E(\nu, \mu(\nu))] d\nu \right| + \max \left| \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) [E(\nu, \mu(\nu)) d\nu - E(\nu, \nu(\nu))] d\nu \right| \\
&\quad + \max \left| \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) [E(\nu, \nu(\nu)) d\nu - E(\nu, \varsigma(\nu))] d\nu \right| \\
&\leq \max \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) |E(\nu, \varsigma(\nu)) - E(\nu, \mu(\nu))| d\nu + \max \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) |E(\nu, \mu(\nu)) d\nu - E(\nu, \nu(\nu))| d\nu \\
&\quad + \max \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) |E(\nu, \nu(\nu)) d\nu - E(\nu, \varsigma(\nu))| d\nu \\
&\leq \max \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) \left[|\varsigma(\nu) - \mu(\nu)| + |\mu(\nu) - \nu(\nu)| + |\nu(\nu) - \varsigma(\nu)| \right] d\nu \\
&\leq \max \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) \max \left[|\varsigma(\nu) - \mu(\nu)| + |\mu(\nu) - \nu(\nu)| + |\nu(\nu) - \varsigma(\nu)| \right] d\nu \\
&= G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) \max \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) d\nu \\
(4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $\int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) d\nu = \frac{\tilde{n}}{2} - \frac{\tilde{n}^2}{2}$ and thus, $\int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) d\nu = \frac{1}{8}$. Consider the above facts, (4.3) gives us

$$G(\hbar\varsigma, \hbar\mu, \hbar\nu) \leq \frac{1}{8} G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) \quad (4.4)$$

Now

$$\Delta(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu), \frac{1}{3} [G(\varsigma, \hbar\varsigma, \hbar\varsigma) + G(\mu, \hbar\mu, \hbar\mu) + G(\nu, \hbar\nu, \hbar\nu)], \\ &\frac{1}{3} [G(\varsigma, \hbar\mu, \hbar\mu) + G(\mu, \hbar\nu, \hbar\nu) + G(\nu, \hbar\varsigma, \hbar\varsigma)] \end{aligned} \right\}$$

3182

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left. \frac{1}{3} \max \left[\begin{aligned} & \left| \zeta(v) - \mu(v) \right| + \left| \mu(v) - \nu(v) \right| + \left| \nu(v) - \zeta(v) \right| \\ & - \left(\left| \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) E(v, \nu(v)) dv - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) E(v, \zeta(v)) dv \right| \right) \\ & - \left(\left| \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) E(v, \mu(v)) dv - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) E(v, \zeta(v)) dv \right| \right) \\ & - \left(\left| \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) E(v, \nu(v)) dv - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) E(v, \mu(v)) dv \right| \right) \end{aligned} \right] \right\} \\
&= \max \{ \max \left[\left| \zeta(v) - \mu(v) \right| + \left| \mu(v) - \nu(v) \right| + \left| \nu(v) - \zeta(v) \right| \right], \right. \\
& \quad \frac{1}{3} \max \left[\begin{aligned} & \left| \zeta(v) - \mu(v) \right| + \left| \mu(v) - \nu(v) \right| + \left| \nu(v) - \zeta(v) \right| - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) \left| E(v, \zeta(v)) - E(v, \mu(v)) \right| dv \\ & - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) \left| E(v, \mu(v)) - E(v, \nu(v)) \right| dv - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) \left| E(v, \nu(v)) - E(v, \zeta(v)) \right| dv \end{aligned} \right], \\
& \quad \left. \frac{1}{3} \max \left[\begin{aligned} & \left| \zeta(v) - \mu(v) \right| + \left| \mu(v) - \nu(v) \right| + \left| \nu(v) - \zeta(v) \right| - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) \left| E(v, \nu(v)) - E(v, \zeta(v)) \right| dv \\ & - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) \left| E(v, \mu(v)) - E(v, \nu(v)) \right| dv - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) \left| E(v, \zeta(v)) - E(v, \mu(v)) \right| dv \end{aligned} \right] \right\} \\
&= \max \{ \max \left[\left| \zeta(v) - \mu(v) \right| + \left| \mu(v) - \nu(v) \right| + \left| \nu(v) - \zeta(v) \right| \right], \right. \\
& \quad \frac{1}{3} \max \left[\begin{aligned} & \left| \zeta(v) - \mu(v) \right| + \left| \mu(v) - \nu(v) \right| + \left| \nu(v) - \zeta(v) \right| - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) \left| E(v, \zeta(v)) - E(v, \mu(v)) \right| \\ & + \left| E(v, \mu(v)) - E(v, \nu(v)) \right| + \left| E(v, \nu(v)) - E(v, \zeta(v)) \right| dv \end{aligned} \right], \\
& \quad \frac{1}{3} \max \left[\begin{aligned} & \left| \zeta(v) - \mu(v) \right| + \left| \mu(v) - \nu(v) \right| + \left| \nu(v) - \zeta(v) \right| - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) \left| E(v, \nu(v)) - E(v, \zeta(v)) \right| \\ & + \left| E(v, \mu(v)) - E(v, \zeta(v)) \right| + \left| E(v, \zeta(v)) - E(v, \mu(v)) \right| dv \end{aligned} \right] \right\} \\
& \quad \geq \max \{ \max \left[\left| \zeta(v) - \mu(v) \right| + \left| \mu(v) - \nu(v) \right| + \left| \nu(v) - \zeta(v) \right| \right], \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{1}{3} \max \left[\begin{aligned} & \left| \zeta(v) - \mu(v) \right| + \left| \mu(v) - \nu(v) \right| + \left| \nu(v) - \zeta(v) \right| \\ & - \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, v) \left[\left| E(v, \zeta(v)) - E(v, \mu(v)) \right| + \left| E(v, \mu(v)) - E(v, \nu(v)) \right| \right] \\ & + \left| E(v, \nu(v)) - E(v, \zeta(v)) \right| dv \end{aligned} \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \max \left\{ G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) - G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) \int_0^1 G'(\tilde{n}, \nu) d\nu \right] \right\}$$

$$\geq \max \left\{ G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu), \frac{1}{3} \left[G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) - \frac{1}{8} G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) \right] \right\} \geq \max \left\{ G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu), \frac{7}{24} G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) \right\}$$

Consequently, we have $\Delta(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) \geq G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu)$ (4.5)

Furthermore, there is $\Theta(\varsigma, \mu, \nu) \leq \frac{7}{24} G(\varsigma, \mu, \nu)$ (4.6)

Moreover, from (4.3) - (4.6), we have $\chi(G(\hbar\varsigma, \hbar\mu, \hbar\nu)) \leq \chi(\Delta(\varsigma, \mu, \nu)) - \Lambda(\Theta(\varsigma, \mu, \nu))$

Hence, according to theorem (3.1), $\hbar$ possesses a single fixed point $\varsigma^* \in \aleph_1 \cap \aleph_2 \cap \aleph_3$.

Therefore (4.2) presents a distinctive solution.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abkar, A.; Choudhury, B.S., Fixed point result in partially ordered metric spaces using weak contractive inequalities, *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.* 2012, 27, 1–11.
- [2] Ahmad, J.; Arshad, M.; Vetro, P, coupled coincidence point results for (ψ, ψ) -contractive mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* 2014, 21, 113–124.
- [3] Banach.S, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, *Fund. Math.*, 3(1922), 133-181.
- [4] Brouwer, L.E.J. Über Abbildungen von Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Math. Ann.* 1912, 71, 97–115.
- [5] Derafshpour, M.; Rezapur, S.; Shahzad, N, Best Proximity point of cyclic ϕ -contractions in ordered metric spaces, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 2011, 37, 193–202.
- [6] Dori c, D., Common fixed point for generalized (ψ, ϕ) -weak contractions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2009, 22, 1896–1900.
- [7] Dutta, P.N.; Choudhury, B.S. A generalization of contraction principle in metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2008, 2008, 406368.
- [8] George, R.; Rajagopalan, R, Cyclic Contractions and fixed points in a dislocated metric space, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 2013, 7, 403– 411.
- [9] Hosseini, V.R, Common fixed for generalized (ϕ, ψ) -weak contractions mappings condition of integral type, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 2010, 4, 1535–1543.
- [10] Hussain, N.; Arshad, M.; Shoaib, A. Fahimuddin, Common fixedpoint results for α - ψ - contractions on a metric space endowed with graph, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2014, 1, 1–14.
- [11] Karpagam, S.; Agrawal, S, Best Proximity Points theorems for Cyclic Meir

Keeler Contraction Maps, *Nonlinear Anal.* 2011, 74, 1040–1046.

[12] Khan, M.S.; Swaleh, M.; Sessa, S., Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 1984, 30, 1–9.

[13] Kirk, W.A.; Srinivasan, P.S.; Veeramani, P, Fixed points for mapping satisfying cyclical contractive conditions, *Fixed Point Theory* 2003, 4, 79–89.

[14] Massa, S, U nosservazione su un teorema di Browder-Roux-Soardi, *Boll. U. M. I.* 1973,

[15] 4, 151–155.

[16] Murthy, P.P.; Tas, K.; Patel, U.D., Common fixedpoint theorems for generalized (ξ, ω) -weak contraction condition in complete metric spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2015, 139.

[17] Murthy, P.P.; Sahu, P.; Amr Elsonbaty, khizar Hyatt Khan, Ramaswamy. R, Radenovi'c.S, Common fixedpoint theorems for generalized (ξ, ω) -weak contraction condition in complete metric spaces, *Mathematics.* 2023, 11,166.

[18] Mustafa. Z, B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 7(2) (2006), 289-297.

[19] Mustafa. Z, W. Sathanawi, M. Bataineh, Existence of fixedpoint results in G-metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Mathematical Sci.*, (10) (2009).

[20] Nashine, H.K.; Golubovic, Z.; Kadelburg, Z., Nonlinear cyclic weak contractions in G-metric spaces and applications to boundary value problems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012, 2012, 227.

[21] Okeke, G.A.; Francis, D.; Gibali, A, On fixed point theorems for a class of $\alpha - \nu$ -Meir-Keeler-type contraction mapping in modular extended b-metric spaces, *J. Anal.* 2022, 30, 1257–1282.

[22] Okeke, G.A.; Francis, D, Fixed point theorems for Geraghty-type mappings applied to solving nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integral equations in modular G-metric spaces, *Arab. J. Math. Sci.* 2021, 27, 214–234.

[23] Okeke, G.A.; Francis, D, Fixed point theorems for asymptotically T-regular mappings in preordered modular G-metric spaces applied to solving nonlinear integral equations, *J. Anal.* 2022, 30, 501–545.

[24] Pacurar M.; Rus, I.A, Fixed Point Theory for ϕ -contractions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 2010, 72, 1181–1187.

[25] Petrushel, G, Cyclic representations and Periodic points, *Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math* 2005, 50, 107–112.

[26] Popescu, O, Fixed points for (ψ, ϕ) -weak contractions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2011, 24, 1–4.

[27] Rhoades, B.E, Some theorems on weakly contractive maps, *Nonlinear Anal.* 2001, 47, 2683–2693.

[28] Srinivas Chary. V, Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy. G, Srinivasa Chary. D, Hseyin Isik and Aydi Hassen, Some fixedpoint theorems for modified JS-G-contractions and

an application to integral equation, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Informatics. 38, No. 5-6, 507-518, 2020.

[29] Srinivas Chary. V, Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy. G, Srinivasa Chary. D, Hseyin Isik and Aydi Hassen, Some fixedpoint theorems on α - β -G-complete G-metric spaces, Carpathian Mathematical Publications. 2021, 13, 1, 58–67.

[30] Srinivas Chary. V, Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy. G, Srinivasa Chary. D, Stojan Radenovic, Slobodanka Mitrovic, Coupled fixed point theorems of JS-G-contraction on G-metric spaces, Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica, 2023, 41, 1–10.

[31] Srinivas Chary. V, Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy. G, Srinivasa Chary. D, Stojan Radenovic, Existence of fixed points in G-metric spaces, Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica, 2023, 41, 1–18