

Analysing The Diverse Perspectives On Balance Of Power

^[1]Kleophas Narzary, ^[2]Anjima Narzary

Abstract: The concept of the Balance of Power has long been a significant factor in the realm of international politics. This article examines the evolution of power dynamics across time, starting with its inception in the early modern European state system and extending to its expansion on the global scale. The study delves further into the intricate dynamics of power equilibrium by examining the impact of various perspectives on its implementation and perception. The Peace of Westphalia, which was created in 1648 after the end of the Thirty Years' War, introduced a framework whereby governments aimed to prevent the dominance of a single power and maintain peace via the formation of alliances and the division of power. This event served as the foundational ground for the concept of the balance of power in historical context. The notion, which was originally centred in Europe, ultimately evolved into a fundamental principle of international law. The article also investigates several perspectives on power dynamics, with a specific emphasis on the realism paradigm that prioritizes state-centred evaluations of power and self-interest. The examination also includes an analysis of the liberal perspective, which prioritizes international institutions and collaborative endeavors aimed at maintaining stability. Furthermore, it engages with the constructivist paradigm, which emphasizes the significance of ideas, identities, and norms in shaping international power dynamics and conduct.

Keywords: Power, Realism, Dilemma, Institutions, Balance of Power.

1. Introduction

The notion of the balance of power is a theoretical construct within the field of international relations and political science. It pertains to a state of affairs whereby no one country or institution has an excessive degree of influence or control over others within a designated system or geographical area. However, power is allocated or equitably dispersed among several nations or actors in a manner that inhibits the emergence of a single dominating entity or the ability to wield unregulated influence. The concept of the "balance of power" has been used in many ways. Policy, in the context being discussed, pertains to a purposeful endeavour aimed at establishing and maintaining a balance of power. This is achieved by the use of diplomatic means or, in certain cases, resorting to armed conflict, with the objective of preventing any state from attaining a dominating position. The concept of the balance of power pertains to a state of affairs when no one state has a dominant position over others. This situation tends to foster a state of overall stability and serves as a deterrent against the hegemonic aspirations of all governments.

The existence of a power equilibrium, although perhaps coincidental, is advocated by neo-realists who claim that the international system inherently gravitates towards balance due to nations' heightened apprehension of a potential hegemonic power¹. Nevertheless, the examination of power equilibrium is not a singular concept within academia. It has undergone transformations throughout history and has generated a multitude of viewpoints within the field. These viewpoints, often grounded in distinct theoretical frameworks, provide diverse interpretations of the distribution of power and its consequences for the field of international relations. In this academic inquiry, we undertake an examination to analyse many viewpoints, aiming to illuminate the intricacies and subtleties that encompass this basic notion.

The concept of power balance, as interpreted within the realist² paradigm, offers a viewpoint that is strongly rooted in the idea of state-centred power dynamics. According to classical realist scholars like *Hans Morgenthau*, it is argued that governments, motivated by self-interest and the need for self-preservation, are always engaged in an ongoing endeavour to acquire power. The imperative of maintaining a balance of power is

¹ Heywood, A. (2014, March 11). Global Politics. Bloomsbury Publishing.

² In international relations, a realist is someone who adheres to the realist theory, emphasizing the pursuit of national self-interest, power, and the competitive nature of international politics. Realists believe that states primarily act to maximize their security and influence in a world marked by anarchy and self-help.

seen by these individuals as a necessity rather than a discretionary decision. It serves as a mechanism to avert the unbridled ascendancy of any one state, which has the ability to disturb the state of equilibrium and thus give rise to conflicts. According to this perspective, the concept of balance of power encompasses a competitive environment in which governments engage in strategic actions to safeguard their interests and guarantee their security.

Nevertheless, it is important to note that the intellectual discourse within the field of international relations encompasses more than only realist ideas. The introduction of a paradigm-shift³ by constructivist researchers, spearheaded by *Alexander Wendt* and other notable figures, centres on the significance of ideas, norms, and identities in influencing the actions of states. According to the constructivist perspective, the distribution of power among nations is not just determined by material considerations, but is significantly shaped by states' perceptions and interpretations of their position within the global system. The prominence of identity narratives, shared norms, and the influence of social structures emerges as a significant factor, posing a challenge to the deterministic perspectives often associated with realist interpretations.

2. Balance Of Power And International Relations

The notion of balance of power asserts that countries need to address possible security challenges by establishing alliances with other nations that encounter comparable dangers, while concurrently bolstering their own military capabilities. The concept of containment often pertains to the strategic approach of forming a coalition of states that share geographical closeness, with the objective of surrounding and obstructing the territorial aspirations of an expansionist force. The United States implemented a containment policy after World War II, which is shown by the development of military alliances and the building of bases throughout Europe, the Middle East, and Asia as a reaction to the Soviet Union.

The theoretical framework of the balance of power suggests that when there are significant shifts in global power dynamics and status, especially when a state attempts to dominate a certain geographic region, it will trigger counterbalancing activities aimed at restoring stability. The process of balancing is of utmost importance in maintaining the stability of ties between states.

2.1 Balance Of Power System Operating Via Two Mechanisms

A balance of power system may operate efficiently via two distinct mechanisms:

1. The establishment of a balance of power among many countries may occur via the presence of flexible coalitions, which can be easily formed or broken depending on practical reasons, regardless of elements such as values, religion, history, or governmental structure. Occasionally, a specific state adopts the position of a balancer, intentionally adjusting its alignment in order to counteract the dominant power of a state or coalition. Throughout the 18th and 19th centuries, the United Kingdom played a prominent and influential role in Europe, particularly in its diplomatic engagements with France, Russia, and Germany.
2. The phenomena of countries attaining a state of equilibrium via the strategic alignment of their various military capabilities may lead to the establishment of a situation characterized by balance. During the historical period known as the Cold War, the Soviet Union and United States actively pursued the expansion of their nuclear arsenals, with the aim of achieving a state of balance in terms of their military capabilities.

One significant drawback of the concept of balance of power is in the inherent difficulty involved in defining power. The primary determinant of a state's power is in the size of its territory, the population it encompasses, and the level of technical progress it has achieved. Nevertheless, the measure of a state's potential strength, often assessed via its Gross Domestic Product (GDP), does not always align directly with its military capabilities. The effectiveness of military might be reliant on several elements, such as leadership, morale,

³ A paradigm shift in international relations is related to the constructivist concept. When there is a paradigm shift in international relations, it typically involves a significant change in the prevailing beliefs and assumptions about how states interact with each other and the world, aligning more closely with constructivist perspectives that emphasize the importance of non-material factors in shaping global affairs.

geographical conditions, and fortunate events. Furthermore, the erroneous beliefs held by leaders has the capacity to greatly distort the analysis and appraisal of power dynamics. During the course of the Vietnam War (1959-1975), U.S. presidents consistently shown a proclivity for underestimating the formidable capabilities of the Vietnamese Communists. The misjudgment may be ascribed to the observation that, when evaluated based on conventional indicators of strength, the Vietnamese Communists seemed notably inferior to the United States.

2.2 Power Balancing In Ancient Times

Since historical examples of power balancing can be found throughout history in many different regions of the world, some scholars argue that the concept of power balance is ubiquitous and has been there since the beginning of time. This is due to the fact that historical instances of power balancing can be found in many different locales. During the period of time known as the Warring States in China (403-221 BC), large, United States began to emerge along with the construction of irrigation systems, bureaucracies, and enormous armies equipped with iron weapons. This took place concurrently with the rise of iron weaponry. These several Chinese dynasties vied for supremacy via a fluid and ever-shifting web of alliances throughout Chinese history.

During the *Peloponnesian War* (431-404 BC) in ancient Greece, the rising power of Athens led to the formation of a coalition of city-states that believed their autonomy was being infringed upon by Athenian authority. This conflict was known as the Peloponnesian War. The alliance, which was led by Sparta, was able to achieve victory against Athens and restore power equilibrium among the other Greek cities as a result of their victory.

The Habsburg dynasty, which ruled Austria and Spain at the time, posed a serious threat to European peace and order in the 17th century. During the *Thirty Years' War*⁴ (1618-1648), a coalition led by Sweden, England, France, and the Netherlands defeated the Habsburg Empire.

The French Emperor Napoleon I made repeated attempts in the early 19th century to consolidate his control over much of Europe. British, Russian, Austrian, and Prussia led an alliance of European powers that ultimately defeated Napoleon at the *Battle of Waterloo* in 1815 and later invaded France entirely.

The classic European balance of power was then formed in 1815 by Austrian statesman Klemens von Metternich's during *Concert of Europe*⁵ coalition. The informal alliance of Britain, Russia, Austria, Prussia, and France ensured that a few of great powers could coexist, with none having the ability to govern the others. For the most part of the nineteenth century, peace reigned throughout Europe and Britain's role as a balancer. In reaction to Nazi Germany's expanding strength, aggressive conquests, and collaboration with Italy and Japan during World War II, a new bloc of antagonistic nations, headed by the capitalist democracies of Britain and the United States and the Communist Soviet Union, developed.

3. Realist Perspective

In realist thought, the concept of a power balance has been fundamental. For instance, Waltz (1979) presented the theory of international politics known as the balance of power. The significance of power in influencing state action and the function of power relations in organizing international politics are reflected in this. The power balance, which realists define as a "rough equilibrium" between two or more power blocs, is seen very favourably by realists.

As only power can check power, a stable and peaceful society is often the result of a well-balanced distribution of authority. But realism accepts two quite different views of the power structure. Balance of power is a result of political action and statesmanship, according to classical realists. The primary decision-makers in

⁴ The Thirty Years' War (1618-1648) was a devastating conflict in Europe primarily fought in the Holy Roman Empire. It began as a religious war between Catholics and Protestants but evolved into a complex struggle involving politics and power. It resulted in significant destruction and reshaped the European balance of power, concluding with the Peace of Westphalia in 1648.

⁵ The Concert of Europe refers to a diplomatic system in the 19th century where major European powers, including Austria, Prussia, Russia, and the United Kingdom, cooperated to maintain stability and prevent conflicts following the Napoleonic Wars. It aimed to balance power and resolve disputes through diplomacy, marking a period of relative peace and cooperation in Europe.

foreign policy are assumed to have considerable (but not limitless) leeway under this model of voluntarism (implying confidence in free will and personal commitment). In contrast, neo-realists see the distribution of power as more of a system, a collection of arrangements that tend to emerge spontaneously rather than as a result of the deliberate choices of decision-makers. In this case of determinism (which implies that human actions are fully conditioned by external forces), the state of international affairs is fundamentally 'forced by events' on statesmen who are limited by the dynamics of the international system. The reason for this is because under a self-help system, the states will work together to ensure that no one state becomes dominant.

However, a balance of power is more likely to emerge in a bipolar system as opposed to a multi-polar or uni-polar one. The concept of the balance of power, which has considerable significance within the realm of international relations, has often been associated with the realist theoretical framework. Essentially, it underscores the concept that power inside the global system functions akin to a *zero-sum game*⁶. This perspective posits that when a state's power and influence increase, it necessitates other nations to engage in counterbalancing efforts to maintain stability. Concurrently, the issue of security emerges as a crucial factor in this contest for dominance, as nation-states grapple with the complex challenge of protecting their own security while refraining from acts that might provoke insecurity in other organizations.

3.1 Power as a Zero-Sum Game:

Realists claim that governments persistently engage in a perpetual conflict inside an anarchic global system. Their principal objectives revolve on the acquisition of power and security, frequently at the expense of neglecting the welfare of others. The foundational principle behind the notion of power as a zero-sum game is that every augmentation of power by a given state always leads to a concomitant diminution of power for another one. This approach underscores the significance of relative benefits, whereby countries prioritize their own interests and endeavour to improve their position in relation to other states.

Within the context of this theoretical framework, nation-states engage in the active process of balancing, which involves undertaking a range of actions such as forming alliances, bolstering their military capabilities, and acquiring resources. These actions are undertaken with the objective of countering the increasing influence and power of other nations. The concept of the balance of power arises from countries' efforts to prevent the dominance of any one actor. The current state of affairs may be characterized as a delicate equilibrium, when the benefits gained by one nation are offset by the activities of other nations, hence perpetuating a competitive quest for power and security.

3.2 The Security Dilemma:

The issue of security is an inherent attribute that emerges from the zero-sum dynamics of power within the domain of international relations. In their pursuit of ensuring security, nations often implement actions that inadvertently contribute to the escalation of instability in their adjacent states. The adoption of defensive measures, such as bolstering military capabilities or establishing alliances, may be seen as provocative moves by other countries, so fostering an environment of mutual suspicion and increased tension.

The security dilemma refers to the difficulty faced by countries as they strive to balance their own security needs with the unintended consequences that may arise from their actions. The aforementioned continual situation helps to heighten the competitive dynamics within the global system, as nation-states are compelled to continuously adapt and respond to perceived threats.

3.3 Liberalist Perspective

Balance of power has always been seen negatively by liberals. Balance of power, in their perspective, is a source of instability and increased mistrust since it legitimizes and perpetuates power politics and international competition. This is because the underlying assumption of the balance of power is that other nations or coalitions of states represent a danger to security, and that this threat can only be controlled by a rival buildup of force or the

⁶ A zero-sum game is a situation in game theory where one participant's gain or advantage is exactly balanced by another participant's loss or disadvantage. In such games, the total value or resources at stake remains constant, and any gain by one party necessarily comes at the expense of another.

establishment of a rival alliance. The balance-of-power perspective, therefore, increases rather than decreases the likelihood of conflict. It is for this reason that much liberal international political thought has focused on developing new and improved methods of maintaining international stability. Building supranational organizations like the United Nations or the League of Nations that can bring order to the chaos of international politics is a central tenet of liberalism's approach to the problem. International organizations facilitate public agreements that encompass most, if not all, nations, allowing for a system of collective security that isn't achievable when the balance of power favours private agreements between states.

3.4 Cooperation and International Institutions:

Scholars with a liberal perspective argue that the formation of international cooperation has the capacity to successfully maintain a state of equilibrium among states. Contrary to seeing power as a limited entity, liberalism prioritizes the potential for collaborative efforts aided by international organizations like the United Nations, the World Trade Organization, and regional bodies. These institutions are of paramount importance in the facilitation of diplomacy, the resolution of conflicts, and the cultivation of shared standards and norms, hence making substantial contributions to the maintenance of global stability.

3.5 Limiting Dominance and Uneven Power:

The idea of power balance involves more than merely the attempts of states to offset the impact of growing powers, from the perspective of liberalism. It also includes the goal of preventing the emergence of hegemony and preserving a global system that is marked by several centres of power. By pushing for democratic values, free markets, and the rule of law, liberalism works towards the goal of constructing a framework that is amenable to peaceful cohabitation and constructive engagement among states.

3.6 Democratic Peace Theory:

The democratic peace theory, a widely acknowledged concept within the liberal paradigm, asserts that democratic nations have a decreased inclination to participate in hostilities with one another. This approach challenges the conventional notion of power equilibrium by emphasizing the importance of democratic institutions, transparency, and responsibility in fostering amicable international interactions.

4. Critical Views

A multitude of critical viewpoints have emerged on the notion of power balance. Social constructivists have emphasized the significance of perception, ideas, and beliefs in affecting the assessment of power dynamics. The assessment of power dynamics is fundamentally shaped by the self-perceptions and perceptions of other states held by the individuals involved. In essence, to restate Wendt's (1999) often cited assertion on anarchy, the understanding of power equilibrium is reliant upon the acts and choices made by nation-states. Scholars specializing in international relations have additionally argued that the notion of balance of power is not an inherent occurrence, but rather a construct that emerges from the existence of shared norms and values among nations, along with their mutual inclination to avert conflicts. The effectiveness of the balance of power is dependent on the governments' commitment to maintain it (Bull, 1977/2002). Feminist academics have proposed a common viewpoint with liberal philosophers, arguing that the use of balance of power rationale often exacerbates international conflict and raises the probability of war, rather than diminishing it. The feminist viewpoint exhibits a gendered lens via its interpretation of power, which is primarily understood as "power over," denoting the ability to exercise control or domination over others. Therefore, the balance of power ultimately evolves into a competition for supremacy. *Postcolonial theorists*⁷ have advanced the argument that power dynamics mostly revolve around Europe or the Western world, resulting in the marginalization of other global

⁷ Postcolonial theorists are scholars who examine and critique the impact of colonialism and imperialism, focusing on the experiences of colonized peoples. They analyse how colonial history, power dynamics, and cultural influences continue to shape societies and individuals in the postcolonial world.

areas. The late nineteenth-century European balance of power system coincided with the occurrence often referred to as the "scramble for Africa"⁸ leading to a heightened aggravation of global disparities and imbalances.

5. Conclusion

The concept of power equilibrium has been a central focus in the field of international relations for an extended period of time. Through extensive research, it has become evident that the balance of power is a multifaceted and diverse phenomenon. The present study has shown the varying interpretations and applications of the balance of power theory, contingent upon the specific contextual circumstances. The concept of power balance has been subject to a multifaceted examination by scholars and politicians throughout the course of history, resulting in a diverse range of views and opinions. According to realist perspectives, the primary objective of governments in the realm of international politics is to optimize their power and security within a highly competitive global landscape. In contrast, liberal institutionalists emphasize the importance of multilateral cooperation and international institutions in maintaining peace and security.

Furthermore, it should be noted that the concept of power balance extends beyond the realm of nation-states. The influence of non-state organizations, such as corporations, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and individuals, is progressively shaping power relations in the global arena. The incorporation of their presence within the analysis of power dynamics broadens the range of discussion and adds more complexity to the subject matter. Both policymakers and scholars acknowledge the significance of understanding the current situation of the global power dynamics. The use of this technique becomes advantageous in determining strategies for the establishment of alliances, formulation of foreign policies, and settlement of conflicts. This inquiry, though, has shown that a universally applicable solution to the issue of power distribution does not exist. However, a thorough understanding of the context, individuals involved, and the complex interactions is necessary. This essay has shown that the examination of power distribution is an ongoing and dynamic endeavour. The examination of the issue from several perspectives, receptiveness to novel concepts, and acknowledgement of the dynamic and adaptable nature of the concept are crucial. It is essential for scholars and practitioners to maintain a perpetual awareness of evolving power dynamics to facilitate the cultivation of stability, peace, and cooperation within an interconnected global context.

References

- [1] Heywood, A. (2014, March 11). *Global Politics*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- [2] <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/9781118885154.dipl0339>
- [3] Jackson, R. H., & Sørensen, G. (2016, January 1). *Introduction to International Relations*. Oxford University Press.
- [4] <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/article/1326-Balance-of-Power-in-International-Relations.html>
- [5] Baylis, J., Smith, S., & Owens, P. (2014, January 1). *The Globalization of World Politics*. Oxford University Press.
- [6] https://www.iilsindia.com/study-material/170649_1598784179.pdf
- [7] Wassermann, B. (1977, April). The Balance of Power. *International Relations*, 5(5), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1177/004711787700500505>
- [8] <https://www.e-ir.info/2014/02/12/balance-of-power-theory-in-todays-international-system/>
- [9] Morgenthau, H. J., & Thompson, K. W. (1985, January 1). *Politics Among Nations*.
- [10] Waltz, K. N. (1979, January 1). *Theory of International Politics*. McGraw-Hill Humanities, Social Sciences & World Languages.

⁸ The Scramble for Africa was a period during the late 19th and early 20th centuries when European powers aggressively competed to colonize and control various regions of the African continent.