Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology
ISSN: 1001-4055
Vol. 46 No. 04 (2025)

Optimal Control of Brushless DC Motor Using Soft Computing Optimization Techniques: A Review

[1]Mr. Jayesh Rajaram Dhuri, [2] Dr. E. Vijay Kumar,

PhD Research Scholar Department of Electrical Engineering, jayeshdhuri87@gmail.com

Professor & HOD Department of Electrical Engineering, drvijaykumareda03@gmail.com

RKDF IST, SRK University, Bhopal, M.P, India

Abstract:- Brushless Direct Current (BLDC) motors are widely utilized in various applications due to their high efficiency, low maintenance, and robust performance. Achieving optimal control of BLDC motors, however, is a complex task due to their nonlinear dynamics, parameter uncertainties, and operating conditions. Traditional control strategies like PID often fail to deliver the desired performance across all operating conditions. Soft computing optimization techniques, such as Genetic Algorithm (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Fuzzy Logic (FL), Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), have been proposed to address these challenges. This paper presents a comprehensive review of the application of soft computing techniques for the optimal control of BLDC motors. We provide a detailed analysis of various optimization methods, their advantages, limitations, and specific applications in BLDC motor control. The review highlights the significant improvements in performance achieved through these methods and offers insight into future research directions.

Keywords*: Brushless DC Motor, Optimal Control, Soft Computing, Genetic Algorithm, Particle Swarm Optimization, Fuzzy Logic, Artificial Neural Networks, Ant Colony Optimization, Motor Control.*

1. Introduction

Brushless DC (BLDC) motors have become a cornerstone in numerous modern applications such as electric vehicles (EVs), robotics, aerospace, and industrial automation due to their superior characteristics, including high efficiency, compact design, and low maintenance requirements. Unlike traditional brushed DC motors, BLDC motors do not employ mechanical commutators and brushes, which reduces friction and wear, making them more reliable and long-lasting.

However, the complexity of controlling BLDC motors arises from their inherent nonlinear dynamics, variations in parameters due to temperature or load changes, and uncertainties in system models. Traditional control techniques, including Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controllers, struggle to achieve optimal performance in such dynamic and uncertain environments.

The advent of **soft computing** techniques has revolutionized motor control by enabling the optimization of control strategies under challenging conditions. Soft computing encompasses computational techniques like **Genetic Algorithms (GA)**, **Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)**, **Fuzzy Logic (FL)**, **Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)**, and **Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)**, which have been employed to achieve optimal control of BLDC motors. These techniques can handle nonlinearities, uncertainties, and computational complexities better than traditional methods.

This paper reviews the state-of-the-art optimization techniques based on soft computing approaches for BLDC motor control, exploring the key concepts, implementations, benefits, and limitations of each technique. Additionally, it discusses recent trends and potential future directions in this area.

BRUSHLESS DC MOTOR OVERVIEW

A Brushless DC motor (BLDC) consists of three primary components:

- **Stator**: Contains the windings where the electromagnetic field is generated.
- **Rotor**: A permanent magnet that generates its magnetic field.
- **Controller**: An electronic circuit that manages the switching of currents to the motor's stator windings based on rotor position feedback.

BLDC motors offer several advantages over conventional DC motors, including:

- **High Efficiency**: Due to the absence of brushes and commutators, there is less energy loss in the system.
- **Reduced Maintenance**: The absence of brushes eliminates wear and tear.
- **High Power Density**: They offer higher torque per unit size compared to brushed motors.

2. OBJECTIVES

The main objectives of this review are:

1. To study the fundamental characteristics and control challenges of BLDC motors.
2. To analyze different soft computing optimization techniques applied for BLDC motor control.
3. To evaluate the advantages, limitations, and performance outcomes of each method.
4. To compare these techniques in terms of convergence speed, computational efficiency, and control accuracy.
5. To identify gaps in existing research and suggest future directions for developing hybrid and real-time control strategies.

3. METHODS - SOFT COMPUTING TECHNIQUES FOR OPTIMAL CONTROL

A. Genetic Algorithm (GA)

The Genetic Algorithm (GA) is an **evolutionary optimization method** that simulates the process of natural selection. It starts with a **population of candidate solutions**, each represented by a chromosome (string of parameters). The performance of each candidate is evaluated using a **fitness function**, which measures how well the parameters achieve the desired objectives such as speed regulation, torque minimization, or energy efficiency.

Algorithmic Steps:

1. **Initialization:** Generate an initial population of random solutions.
2. **Fitness Evaluation:** Evaluate each solution using a predefined objective function (e.g., minimizing speed error or torque ripple).
3. **Selection:** Select the best-performing individuals based on fitness value.
4. **Crossover:** Combine selected parents to produce new offspring.
5. **Mutation:** Randomly alter some genes to introduce diversity.
6. **Replacement:** Form a new population and repeat until convergence criteria are met.

Mathematical Representation:

If f(x) represents the fitness function and $x=[x1,x2,...,xn]$ denotes control parameters, GA seeks to
Find: $x^* = \arg\max f(x)$
Through iterative genetic operations.

Application in BLDC Motors:

GA has been successfully applied for:

- **PID parameter optimization** for speed and torque control.
- **Torque ripple minimization** by tuning current control loops.
- **Efficiency optimization** by adjusting commutation angles.

By exploring a large search space, GA finds optimal or near-optimal control parameters that enhance motor dynamic response and stability.

B. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)

PSO is inspired by the social behavior of birds flocking or fish schooling. Each potential solution is modeled as a "particle" that moves through the search space. The particles communicate with each other to find the best possible solution based on their own experience and the group's best-known position.

Mathematical Formulation:

Each particle updates its velocity and position using:

$$v_i^{t+1} = \omega\, v_i^t + c_1 r_1 (p_i^t - x_i^t) + c_2 r_2 (g_t - x_i^t)$$

Where:

- ω: inertia weight,
- c_1, c_2: cognitive and social coefficients,
- r_1,r_2: random numbers between 0 and 1,
- p_i: personal best position,
- g: global best position.

Application in BLDC Motors:

PSO has been applied to optimize the tuning of PID controllers and other motor control parameters. It helps achieve faster response times, reduced overshoot, and improved stability in BLDC motor systems.

C. Fuzzy Logic (FL)

Fuzzy Logic controllers use linguistic variables instead of precise numerical ones, making them ideal for systems with uncertainty and imprecision. They rely on if–then rules derived from human reasoning rather than explicit mathematical models.

Structure of a Fuzzy Logic Controller:

1. Fuzzification: Converts crisp input values (e.g., error, rate of change of error) into fuzzy sets.
2. Rule Base: Contains expert-defined rules (e.g., *If error is small and change of error is negative, then decrease control signal*).
3. Inference Engine: Applies fuzzy reasoning to compute control actions.
4. Defuzzification: Converts the fuzzy output back into a crisp control signal.

Mathematical Expression:

The output yyy can be expressed as:

$y = (\Sigma\, \mu_i(x) \cdot y_i) / (\Sigma\, \mu_i(x))$

where $\mu_i(x)$ is the membership function y_i is the output associated with rule i.

Application in BLDC Motors:

- **Speed and position control** without the need for an accurate motor model.
- **Adaptive torque regulation** in dynamic and noisy environments.

- **Improved robustness** against parameter variations.
  Fuzzy control is often combined with GA or PSO to automatically optimize the fuzzy rules or membership functions, creating hybrid fuzzy systems.

D. Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

ANNs are powerful learning-based systems that approximate nonlinear relationships through interconnected layers of artificial neurons. Each neuron applies a weighted sum and an activation function to model complex dynamics.

Mathematical Model:

For a neuron **j:**

$\mathbf{y_j = f(\Sigma\, w_{ij}x_i + b_j)}$

where $\mathbf{x_i}$ are inputs, $\mathbf{w_{ij}}$ are connection weights, $\mathbf{b_j}$ is bias, and f is the activation function (e.g., sigmoid, ReLU).

Training Process:

1. **Data Collection**: Gather motor input-output data (e.g., speed, voltage, current).
2. **Training**: Adjust weights using algorithms like backpropagation to minimize error.
3. **Validation**: Test performance under unseen operating conditions.

Application in BLDC Motors:

- **Modeling and prediction** of nonlinear motor dynamics.
- **Adaptive and self-tuning controllers** that adjust to load variations.
- **Fault detection and diagnosis** using pattern recognition.
  ANNs can be integrated with GA or PSO for hybrid control, where optimization algorithms fine-tune the network's parameters for improved accuracy and faster convergence.

E. Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)

ACO mimics the foraging behavior of ants in finding optimal paths between their colony and food sources. The artificial ants communicate using pheromone trails, which guide subsequent ants toward better solutions.

Mathematical Framework:

The probability of an ant k moving from node i to node j is given by:

$\mathbf{P_{ij}^k = ([\tau_{ij}]^\wedge\alpha\ [\eta_{ij}]^\wedge\beta) / (\Sigma_l \in N_i\ [\tau_{il}]^\wedge\alpha\ [\eta_{il}]^\wedge\beta)}$

Where:

τ_{ij} : pheromone level,
η_{ij} : heuristic information (e.g., inverse of cost),
α, β : influence parameters.

Application in BLDC Motors:

- **Optimal commutation sequence selection** to minimize torque ripple.
- **Path optimization** in multi-objective control tasks.
- **Parameter tuning** for nonlinear controllers.
  Although ACO is computationally intensive, it provides **robust and accurate solutions** in systems with dynamic and uncertain environments.

4. Results

The following table provides a comparison of the soft computing techniques based on their application in BLDC motor control:

Technique	Strengths	Weaknesses	Application in BLDC Motors
Genetic Algorithm (GA)	Global optimization, handles nonlinearities	Computationally expensive, slow convergence	Parameter optimization, torque ripple reduction
Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	Fast convergence, easy implementation	Tendency to get stuck in local minima, parameter sensitivity	Speed and torque control, PID tuning
Fuzzy Logic (FL)	Handles uncertainties and nonlinearities effectively	Rule-based complexity, requires expert knowledge	Speed, position, and torque control
Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)	Learns and adapts to nonlinear behavior, suitable for real-time control	Requires large datasets for training, prone to overfitting	Adaptive control, real-time system modeling
Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)	Effective for combinatorial optimization, robust under uncertainty	Computationally intensive, slow convergence	Commutation scheduling, controller optimization

5. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite the significant advancements in soft computing techniques for BLDC motor control, several challenges remain:

1. Real-Time Implementation: Many soft computing methods require high computational power, which may limit their use in real-time motor control applications. Future research should focus on optimizing these techniques for embedded systems with limited computational resources.
2. Hybrid Approaches: Combining multiple soft computing techniques (e.g., hybridizing GA with ANN or PSO with FL) may offer improved results. Future work could focus on the development of hybrid algorithms that can balance performance and computational complexity.
3. Robustness: Enhancing the robustness of soft computing-based controllers to handle system uncertainties, disturbances, and parameter variations is an ongoing research direction.
4. Hardware Integration: Implementing soft computing optimization methods directly on hardware platforms, such as Field-Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) or Digital Signal Processors (DSPs), is an area for further exploration.

6. CONCLUSION

This paper has reviewed the application of soft computing optimization techniques, including Genetic Algorithm (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Fuzzy Logic (FL), Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), in the optimal control of Brushless DC motors. These techniques have shown great promise in enhancing the performance of BLDC motors by optimizing control parameters, reducing energy consumption, and improving speed and torque regulation. However, challenges related to real-time implementation, robustness, and computational complexity remain. Future research should focus on hybridization of techniques, real-time applicability, and hardware implementation to achieve practical and efficient motor control systems.

Refrences

[1] S. V. Kulkarni and A. M. Kulkarni, "Speed Control of Brushless DC Motor using Fuzzy Logic Controller," *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 75, no. 14, pp. 1–5, Aug. 2013.

[2] A. Bhattacharyya, A. S. Panda, and B. Subudhi, "A PSO-based fuzzy logic controller for BLDC motor speed control," *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 536–545, Apr. 2017.

[3] S. P. Singh and P. K. Jain, "Optimal Control of BLDC Motor Using Genetic Algorithm-Based PI Controller," *International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 161–167, Mar. 2016.

[4] R. Ghosh and S. Banerjee, "Speed Control of BLDC Motor using Artificial Neural Network," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Power, Control and Embedded Systems (ICPCES)*, Allahabad, India, Dec. 2014.

[5] N. T. Huong and N. T. Dung, "Application of Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm to Improve the Performance of Fuzzy Logic Controller for BLDC Motor Drive," *Journal of Automation and Control Engineering*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 203–209, Jun. 2016.

[6] R. K. Tripathi and A. K. Pandey, "Hybrid Soft Computing Techniques in the Control of BLDC Motor: A Review," *International Journal of Control and Automation*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 11–24, 2018.

[7] M. A. Khan and S. Kamal, "Comparative Analysis of Intelligent Controllers for Brushless DC Motor: A Review," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 119072–119089, 2019.

[8] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Information and Control*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 338–353, Jun. 1965.

[9] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, "Particle swarm optimization," in *Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*, Perth, WA, Australia, 1995, vol. 4, pp. 1942–1948.

[10] D. E. Goldberg, *Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization, and Machine Learning*, Addison-Wesley, 1989.